

Excitation of stellar p-modes by turbulent convection:

1. Theoretical formulation

Samadi R.¹ and Goupil M.-J.²

¹ Observatoire de Paris. DESPA. CNRS UMR 8632. 92195 Meudon. France

² Observatoire de Paris. DASGAL. CNRS UMR 8633. 92195 Meudon. France

Received 17 October 2000/ Accepted 19 January 2001

Abstract. Stochastic excitation of stellar oscillations by turbulent convection is investigated and an expression for the power injected into the oscillations by the turbulent convection of the outer layers is derived which takes into account excitation through turbulent Reynolds stresses and turbulent entropy fluctuations. This formulation generalizes results from previous works and is built so as to enable investigations of various possible spatial and temporal spectra of stellar turbulent convection. For the Reynolds stress contribution and assuming the Kolmogorov spectrum we obtain a similar formulation to those derived by previous authors. The entropy contribution to excitation is found to originate from the advection of the Eulerian entropy fluctuations by the turbulent velocity field. Numerical computations in the solar case in a companion paper indicate that the entropy source term is dominant over the Reynold stress contribution to mode excitation, except at high frequencies.

Key words. convection - turbulence - Stars: oscillations - Sun: oscillations

1. Introduction

Oscillation amplitudes and linewidths provide information on excitation and damping processes of stellar oscillation modes. In the solar case, the observed oscillation modes are believed to be damped as a result of a competition between several non-adiabatic and turbulent processes (Osaki, 1990; Houdek et al., 1999). Excitation of solar oscillation modes is attributed to turbulent convection at the surface of the Sun. The first theoretical investigation of solar oscillation excitation by turbulent convection was by Goldreich & Keeley (1977, hereafter GK). These authors identified the turbulent term of Reynolds stress as the main source term of stochastic excitation of solar acoustic modes in the wave equation. GK derived an approximate estimation for the acoustic power injected into the oscillations by turbulent convection which arises from an equipartition of energy between the turbulent elements and the oscillations. The result, however, underestimated the power by a factor $\sim 10^3$ compared to the solar observations (Osaki, 1990). Amplitude estimations for solar-like oscillating stars have subsequently been computed by Christensen-Dalsgaard & Frandsen (1983) based on this simple picture of equipartition of energy between turbulence and oscillation. GK considered the adiabatic assumption for both the oscillations and the turbulence. A

decade later, entropy turbulent fluctuation has been proposed as a possible additional excitation source (Stein & Nordlund, 1991; Balmforth, 1992; Goldreich et al., 1994).

The stochastic mechanism may be understood as follows : turbulent motions of the stellar material and turbulent fluctuations of thermodynamical quantities, which occur in the convection zone, generate acoustic waves (Lighthill, 1952; Stein, 1967). The corresponding acoustic power then excites resonant modes of the stellar cavity (oscillations). This excitation of the oscillations results from a forcing by incoherent (turbulent) source terms due to the turbulent Reynolds stress and turbulent entropy fluctuations.

An alternative formulation for the power and amplitude oscillation is proposed by Balmforth (1992, hereafter B92) and is used by Houdek et al. (1999) to compute amplitude of oscillation for various solar-type stars. There is some disagreement between the conclusions of both formulations concerning the entropy contribution : it is found dominant in theoretical investigation (Goldreich et al., 1994, hereafter GMK) and as a result of numerical 3D simulations (Stein & Nordlund, 1991), but negligible in some other cases (Balmforth, 1992).

Both formulations are built following the method developed by GK and are based on a simplified description of the turbulent medium. The spectrum of turbulent energy in stellar conditions is, however, not well known. The best-known spectrum is, of course, the solar spectrum

(Espagnet et al., 1993; Nesis et al., 1993) and observations tell us that a Kolmogorov spectrum does not represent the complete turbulent solar spectrum (Nesis et al., 1993).

The purpose of the present work therefore is to investigate the effect of several possible models of turbulence on the excitation of solar-like oscillation modes and to establish their signature in power spectra. To do so, a formulation must first be established which includes both (kinetic and entropic) contributions in a consistent and general way. The main goal is to allow the use of any form of the turbulent kinetic energy spectrum, of turbulent entropy fluctuations and the eddy temporal spectrum. Two free parameters are introduced to take into account uncertainties in the definitions of the coherence time of the turbulent eddies and in the magnitude of the wavenumber at which the inertial regime starts.

Once the general formulation is established, we study the specific case of a Gaussian time and Kolmogorov energy spectra, which enables us to compare our findings with results of previous works. In a companion paper, the present formulation is applied to the solar case and several turbulent models found in the literature are discussed. Both free parameters can be calibrated with solar observations and used to compute oscillation amplitudes for other potential solar-like oscillating stars (Samadi et al., 2000, 2001b) in view of forthcoming space seismological experiments : COROT (Baglin & The Corot Team, 1998), MONS (Kjeldsen & Bedding, 1998), MOST (Matthews, 1998), EDDINGTON (Favata et al., 2000).

Sect. 1 recalls how the stochastic excitation mechanism of stellar oscillations is modeled by source terms in the wave equation. We assume the modes are damped and find that they are excited through the turbulent Reynolds stresses (Goldreich & Keeley, 1977) and the turbulent entropy fluctuations arising from the turbulent nature of the stellar convection region (Stein & Nordlund, 1991; Balmforth, 1992; Goldreich et al., 1994). We adopt the GK procedure and assume that the oscillation modes are decoupled from the turbulent medium. This description gives rise to an inhomogeneous wave equation for the oscillation modes. In this framework, the acoustic turbulent source acts as a forcing term for the oscillation normal modes. We consider adiabatic radial oscillations in the Cowling (1941) approximation. A homogeneous, isotropic, stationary turbulence is assumed. A formulation for the Reynolds stress contribution which can include any type of turbulent spectrum is established in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4, the contribution of turbulent fluctuations of entropy is worked out. We find that it is the advection of the entropy turbulent fluctuation by the turbulent velocity field which yields the entropy source. An appendix shows that cross terms between Reynolds and entropic sources do not contribute.

Sect. 5 finally establishes a general formulation which can be used to investigate any type of turbulent spectrum. Finally, Sect. 6 discusses the complete formulation, its limitations and advantages.

2. Turbulent stochastic excitation

2.1. The inhomogeneous wave equation

In the basic hydrodynamical equations, we use the symbols P , ρ , $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ to denote respectively pressure, density, velocity and gravitational acceleration. Equilibrium quantities are represented with a subscript 0. Each variable f , except for the velocity $\mathbf{v}$, is written as the sum of the equilibrium quantity, f_0 and a Eulerian fluctuation, f_1 , $f = f_0 + f_1$ and we retain terms which are linear and quadratic in the variables P_1 and ρ_1 and neglect the gravitational perturbation. Accordingly, one obtains for the perturbed momentum and continuity equations:

$$\frac{\partial \rho \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \nabla : (\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}) + \nabla P_1 - \rho_1 \mathbf{g}_0 = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0. \quad (2)$$

The perturbed equation of state in a Eulerian description is given by:

$$P_1 = c_s^2 \rho_1 + \alpha_s s_1 + \alpha_{\rho\rho} \rho_1^2 + \alpha_{ss} s_1^2 + \alpha_{\rho s} \rho_1 s_1 \quad (3)$$

where s is the entropy, $\alpha_s = (\partial P / \partial s)_\rho$, $c_s = \Gamma_1 P_0 / \rho_0$ denotes the average sound speed, $\Gamma_1 = (\partial \ln P / \partial \ln \rho)_s$ is the adiabatic exponent and $\alpha_{\rho\rho}$, α_{ss} and $\alpha_{\rho s}$ are the second partial derivatives of P versus s and ρ .

We assume adiabatic oscillations : the Lagrangian entropy fluctuations are therefore only due to turbulence. Lagrangian and Eulerian entropy fluctuations are related to each other by

$$\frac{d\delta s_t}{dt} = \frac{\partial s_1}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla (s_0 + s_1) \quad (4)$$

where δs_t is the turbulent Lagrangian entropy fluctuation. The subscript t refers to turbulent quantities. For later use and with the help of Eq.(4), the time derivative of the Eulerian entropy fluctuation s_1 is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_s \frac{\partial s_1}{\partial t} = \alpha_s \frac{d\delta s_t}{dt} - \alpha_s \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla s_0 - \nabla \cdot (\alpha_s s_1 \mathbf{v}) \\ + s_1 \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \alpha_s + \alpha_s s_1 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The velocity field $\mathbf{v}$ is split into a component due to the pulsational displacement $\delta \mathbf{r}_{osc}$ and a turbulent component $\mathbf{u}$ as $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_{osc} + \mathbf{u}$.

Linearisation of Eq.(1-3) yields for the velocity field, in the absence of turbulence ($\mathbf{u} = 0$), the homogeneous wave equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \mathbf{L} \right) \mathbf{v} = 0 \quad (6)$$

with the linear wave operator :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \left[\nabla (c_s^2 \nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \mathbf{X})) + \alpha_s \mathbf{X} \cdot \nabla s_0 \right. \\ \left. - \mathbf{g}_0 \nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \mathbf{X}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

With appropriate boundary conditions (Unno et al., 1989) one recovers the usual eigenvalue problem :

$$\mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{r})) = -\omega_0^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (8)$$

where ω_0 is the oscillation frequency and $\xi(\mathbf{r})$ is the adiabatic (real) displacement eigenvector.

In the presence of turbulence, the pulsational displacement and velocity are written in terms of the above adiabatic solution $\xi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and an instantaneous amplitude $A(t)$. Accordingly

$$\mathbf{v}_{osc} = \frac{d\delta\mathbf{r}_{osc}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}(-i\omega_0 A(t) \xi(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\omega_0 t} + cc) \quad (9)$$

where cc means complex conjugate.

Differentiating Eq. (1) with respect to t , neglecting non-linear terms in $\mathbf{v}_{osc}$, assuming an incompressible turbulence ($\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$) and using Eq. (2, 3, 5) yields the inhomogeneous wave equation

$$\rho_0 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \mathbf{L} \right) \left[\left(1 + \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_0} \right) \mathbf{v} \right] + \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{v}_{osc}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathbf{f}_t + \nabla h_t) \quad (10)$$

with

$$\mathbf{f}_t = -\nabla : (\rho_0 \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}) \quad (11)$$

the turbulent Reynolds stress,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla h_t = -\nabla \cdot \left(\alpha_s \frac{d}{dt} \delta s_t - \nabla \cdot (\alpha_s \mathbf{u} s_1) + s_1 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \alpha_s \right), \quad (12)$$

the source term due to the turbulent entropy fluctuations. The first term in the RHS of Eq. (12) is due to the Lagrangian entropy fluctuation which was considered by GMK and B92. The last two terms are due to the buoyancy force associated with the Eulerian entropy fluctuations. These terms contribute to the excitation as much as the Reynolds source term. The time derivative operator in the LHS of Eq. (12) in the definition of h_t is introduced for convenience.

The operator $\mathcal{D}$ involves both the turbulent velocity field ($\mathbf{u}$) and the pulsational velocity and is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{v}_{osc}) = & 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla : \rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v}_{osc}) + \nabla \cdot \left(\alpha_s \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_0} \mathbf{v}_{osc} \cdot \nabla s_0 \right. \\ & - \nabla \cdot (\alpha_s \mathbf{v}_{osc} s_1) + s_1 \mathbf{v}_{osc} \cdot \nabla \alpha_s \\ & \left. + \alpha_s s_1 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{osc} - (\alpha_{ps} s_1 + 2\alpha_{pp} \rho_1) \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}_{osc}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

As will be shown in the next section, this term contributes to the dynamical linear damping.

We assume a “free turbulence”, i.e. the turbulent medium evolves freely and is not perturbed by the oscillations. The continuity equation is verified by the turbulent medium such that

$$\frac{\partial \rho_t}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot ((\rho_0 + \rho_t) \mathbf{u}) = 0. \quad (14)$$

As a consequence of neglecting the oscillation perturbations in higher order terms, the Eulerian fluctuations s_1 and ρ_1 can be seen as due only to the turbulence in evaluating the quantities ∇h_t and $\mathcal{D}$. We therefore substitute ρ_1 (resp. s_1) by ρ_t (resp. s_t) in Eq. (12, 14).

It can easily be shown that the additional terms appearing in the RHS of Eq. (10) are of order M_t^2 , where M_t is the turbulent Mach number, compared with the Reynolds source term (see also GK). As M_t is small in the solar convection zone ($M_t \lesssim 0.3$), these additional terms have been neglected.

The wave operator $(\partial^2/\partial t^2 - \mathbf{L})$ acting on the turbulent velocity field $\mathbf{u}$ in Eq. (10) gives rise to contributions which are either negligible compared with the Reynolds source term or of the same order. In this last case, the associated source term does not contribute to the wave excitation because it is linear in terms of the turbulent fluctuations (see also Sect. 4.1). Therefore, in the LHS of Eq. (10), $\mathbf{v}$ can be replaced by $\mathbf{v}_{osc}$.

In deriving Eq. (9), the time variation of the amplitude A is neglected since we assume, as in the solar case, that the eddy time correlation is of the order of a few minutes in the excitation region and the associated angular frequency is comparable to ω_0 (~ 3 mHz), which is much larger than the oscillation damping rate η (~ 100 μ Hz).

2.2. Mean square amplitude

Substituting Eq. (9) into Eq. (10), gives, with the help of Eq. (6),

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\omega_0^2 \frac{dA}{dt} \rho_0 \xi + i\omega_0 \mathcal{D}(A \xi) \\ & + i\omega_0 \rho_0 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \mathbf{L} \right) \left[\frac{\rho_t}{\rho_0} A \xi \right] = \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial t} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with

$$\mathcal{S} \equiv -(\mathbf{f}_t + \nabla h_t). \quad (16)$$

As previously mentioned, periods of oscillations are much shorter than their lifetime ($\sim 1/\eta$) such that $\omega_0 \gg \eta$ and thus $|d \ln A/dt| \ll \omega_0$. Therefore the second derivative of A arising in Eq. (15) has been discarded. Multiplying Eq. (15) by $\rho_0 \xi^*(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and integrating over the stellar volume gives

$$\frac{dA}{dt} + \Delta\sigma A = \frac{1}{2\omega_0^2 I} \int d^3x \xi^* \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial t}, \quad (17)$$

where we have defined the complex quantity $\Delta\sigma = i\Delta\omega + \eta_D$ which comes from the contribution of the operator $\mathcal{D}(\xi)$. This expression is linear in the eigenfunction ξ , hence $\Delta\omega$ corresponds to a ‘dynamical’ shift of the oscillation frequency due to turbulence. This shift is expected to be smaller or of the order of non-adiabatic frequency shifts, which are not considered here. The dynamical shift, $\Delta\omega$, will therefore be neglected. The real part, η_D , contributes to the dynamical damping. As in B92 and GK, η_D is replaced in Eq. (17) by the global damping rate η in order to take into account all damping processes. The solution of Eq. (17) after integration by parts over the time is given by :

$$A(t) = \frac{ie^{-\eta t}}{2\omega_0 I} \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \int d^3x e^{(\eta+i\omega_0)t'} \xi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}, t') \quad (18)$$

and for the the square amplitude:

$$|A|^2(t) = \frac{e^{-2\eta t}}{4(\omega_0 I)^2} \int_{-\infty}^t dt_1 dt_2 \int d^3 r_1 d^3 r_2 e^{\sigma(t_1, t_2)} \times (\boldsymbol{\xi}(r_1) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}(r_1, t_1)) (\boldsymbol{\xi}(r_2) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^*(r_2, t_2)) \quad (19)$$

with $\sigma(t_1, t_2) = \eta(t_1 + t_2) + i\omega_0(t_1 - t_2)$. Spatial integrations are performed over the whole stellar envelope. For convenience we define the following coordinates

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \frac{\mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{r}_1}{2} \quad t_0 = \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2} \quad (20)$$

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1 \quad \tau = t_2 - t_1$$

$\mathbf{x}_0$ and t_0 are the average time-space position where the stochastic excitation is integrated whereas $\mathbf{r}$ and τ are related to the local turbulence. τ corresponds to the fast time scale associated with the eddy lifetime and t_0 to the slow time scale associated with the oscillation growth rate (η). In the following, ∇_0 is the large-scale derivative associated with $\mathbf{x}_0$, ∇_r is the small-scale one associated with $\mathbf{r}$ and the derivative operators ∇_1 and ∇_2 are associated with $\mathbf{r}_1$ and $\mathbf{r}_2$ respectively. The mean square amplitude can be rewritten in terms of the new coordinates as

$$\langle |A|^2(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{4(\omega_0 I)^2} \int_{-\infty}^t dt_0 e^{2\eta(t_0 - t)} \int_{2(t_0 - t)}^{2(t - t_0)} d\tau \times \int d^3 x_0 d^3 r e^{-i\omega_0 \tau} \times \left\langle \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}[\mathbf{x}_0 - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}, t_0 - \frac{\tau}{2}] \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^*[\mathbf{x}_0 + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}, t_0 + \frac{\tau}{2}] \right\rangle. \quad (21)$$

The operator $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the statistical average performed on an infinite number of independent realizations.

In the excitation region the eddy lifetime is much smaller than the oscillation lifetime ($\sim 1/\eta$) such that the integration over τ can be extended to infinity. We assume a stationary turbulence, therefore the source term $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is invariant over time t_0 . Integration over t_0 in Eq.(21) and using the definition of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ in Eq.(16) yields :

$$\langle |A|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{8\eta(\omega_0 I)^2} (C_R^2 + C_S^2) \quad (22)$$

with

$$C_R^2 = \int d^3 x_0 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{-i\omega_0 \tau} \int d^3 r \times \left\langle \left(\rho_0 u_j u_i \nabla_1^j \xi^i \right)_1 \left(\rho_0 u_j u_i \nabla_2^j \xi^i \right)_2 \right\rangle \quad (23)$$

the turbulent Reynolds stress contribution,

$$C_S^2 = \int d^3 x_0 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{-i\omega_0 \tau} \int d^3 r \times \langle (h_t \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi})_1 (h_t \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi})_2 \rangle \quad (24)$$

the entropy contribution. Subscripts 1 and 2 are the values taken at the spatial and temporal positions $[\mathbf{x}_0 - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}, -\frac{\tau}{2}]$ and $[\mathbf{x}_0 + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}, \frac{\tau}{2}]$ respectively. We adopt the Einstein convention of summation upon repeated indices. Hereafter all time integrations over τ are understood to be performed in the range $]-\infty, +\infty[$. Note that the crossing term between the Reynolds source term and the entropy source term does not contribute to the excitation (see Appendix A).

3. Reynolds stress contribution

In the derivation of Eq. (23) and Eq. (24) integrations by parts have been performed in order for the gradient to act on the eigenfunction instead of turbulent quantities. We next suppose that the terms $(\rho_0 \nabla_1^i \xi^j)$ and $(\rho_0 \nabla_2^i \xi^j)$ in Eq.(23) do not change on the length scale of the eddies. This implies that $\nabla_r^i \xi^j \simeq 0$. Validity of this assumption will be justified *a posteriori* below. Consequently, the Reynolds stress contribution can be expressed as :

$$C_R^2 = \int d^3 x_0 \rho_0^2 \nabla_0^i \xi^j \nabla_0^l \xi^m \times \int d\tau d^3 r e^{-i\omega_0 \tau} \langle u'_i u'_j u'_l u'_m \rangle \quad (25)$$

where the following notations have been used :

$$\mathbf{u}' = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_0 - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}, t_0 - \frac{\tau}{2}) \quad \mathbf{u}'' = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_0 + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}, t_0 + \frac{\tau}{2}). \quad (26)$$

The Quasi-Normal Approximation (Lesieur, 1997, Chap VII-2, QNA hereafter) reduces the fourth-order velocity correlations as follows :

$$\langle u'_i u'_j u'_l u'_m \rangle = \langle u'_i u'_j \rangle \langle u'_l u'_m \rangle + \langle u'_i u'_l \rangle \langle u'_j u'_m \rangle + \langle u'_i u'_m \rangle \langle u'_j u'_l \rangle. \quad (27)$$

This approximation remains strictly valid for normally distributed fluctuating quantities. As shown by Kraichnan (1957) and Stein (1967) neglected terms can be large and therefore not negligible. Here the QNA approximation is nevertheless assumed valid as it is found justified when considering 3D simulations of the solar convection zone (Samadi, 2000, work in progress).

We denote $\phi_{ij}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ as the well known Fourier transform of the second-order velocity correlations $\langle u'_i u'_j \rangle$ (e.g. Stein, 1967). For a stationary, incompressible, homogeneous and isotropic turbulence, the Fourier transform of the velocity correlation has the form (Batchelor, 1970) :

$$\phi_{ij}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{E(k, \omega)}{4\pi k^2} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} \right) \quad (28)$$

where $E(k, \omega)$ is the turbulent kinetic energy spectrum and δ_{ij} is the Kroenecker tensor. Following Stein (1967), the velocity energy spectrum $E(k, \omega)$ is written as

$$E(k, \omega) = E(k) \chi_k(\omega). \quad (29)$$

Stein (1967) and Musielak et al. (1994) suggest several forms for the frequency factor. The Gaussian function is the simplest choice and is defined as

$$\chi_k(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega_k \sqrt{\pi}} e^{-(\omega/\omega_k)^2}. \quad (30)$$

The time spectrum is therefore normalized such that (Tennekes & Lumley, 1982, Chap 8.1)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \chi_k(\omega) = 1. \quad (31)$$

One obtains from Eq.(27) :

$$\int d\tau d^3r e^{-i\omega_0\tau} \langle u'_i u'_j u'_l u''_m \rangle = (2\pi)^4 \int d\omega d^3k \times [\phi_{il}(\mathbf{k}, \omega_0) \phi_{jm}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) + \phi_{im}(\mathbf{k}, \omega_0) \phi_{jl}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)] . \quad (32)$$

The first term in the RHS of Eq.(27) when inserted into Eq. (32) gives no contribution. With the help of Eq.(31) and Eq.(32), the Reynolds stress contribution can be written as

$$C_R^2 = \pi^2 \int d^3x_0 (\rho_0^2 \nabla_0^i \xi^j \nabla_0^l \xi^m) \int d^3k \times (T_{ijlm} + T_{ijml}) \frac{E^2(k)}{k^4} \chi_k(\omega_0) \quad (33)$$

where

$$T_{ijlm} = \left(\delta_{il} - \frac{k_i k_l}{k^2} \right) \left(\delta_{jm} - \frac{k_j k_m}{k^2} \right) .$$

Excitation by convection takes place at the top of the convection zone. In this region, eigenvectors of acoustic modes with high radial order can be considered essentially as radial and propagating in a plane-parallel manner. Hence Eq.(33) becomes (see Appendix C)

$$C_R^2 = 4\pi^3 \mathcal{G} \int_0^M dm \rho_0 \left(\frac{d\xi_r}{dr} \right)^2 \int_0^\infty dk \frac{E^2(k)}{k^2} \chi_k(\omega_0) \quad (34)$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is an anisotropic factor similar to Gough's (1977) one, as defined in Eq. (C.6). Because of the term $\chi_k(\omega_0)$, eddies with $\omega_k \gtrsim \omega_0$ contribute the most to the integration over k , i.e. to mode excitation. This is in agreement with Goldreich & Kumar (1990), who state that acoustic emission arises from eddies with $\omega_0 \tau_k \lesssim 1$. We have

$$\frac{\omega_k}{\omega_0} \approx \frac{k u_k}{k_{osc} c_s} \gtrsim \approx \frac{k}{k_{osc}} M_t \gtrsim 1 , \quad (35)$$

where k_{osc} is the wavenumber of the mode, u_k the velocity of an eddy with wavenumber k and M_t the turbulent Mach number, which is small. Eddies with $k \gg k_{osc}$ are those which contribute the most to modal excitation. The oscillation and the contributive eddies are then well decoupled. Moreover, the stratification does not affect the turbulent emission (Goldreich & Kumar, 1990). The above comments altogether justify the assumption- at the beginning of this section- that $(\rho_0^2 \nabla_1^i \xi^j \nabla_2^k \xi^l)$ remains constant over the length scale of the contributive eddies. These conclusions also justify the use of a homogeneous turbulence because the stratification and the oscillations have a characteristic scale length much larger than the contributive eddies.

4. Contribution of entropy fluctuations

As in Sect. 3 we use $\nabla_r^i \xi^j \simeq 0$ in Eq.(24) to obtain :

$$C_S^2 = \int d^3x_0 (\nabla_0^i \xi_i)^2 \int d\tau e^{-i\omega_0\tau} \int d^3r \langle h'_t h''_t \rangle \quad (36)$$

where

$$h'_t = h_t(\mathbf{x}_0 - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}, -\frac{\tau}{2}) \text{ and } h''_t = h_t(\mathbf{x}_0 + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}, +\frac{\tau}{2}) . \quad (37)$$

Integration over the time of the first term in the RHS of Eq. (12) gives

$$h_t(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\alpha_s \delta s_t + q_t(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (38)$$

with

$$q_t(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^t dt' (\nabla \cdot (\alpha_s \mathbf{u} s_t) - s_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \alpha_s) . \quad (39)$$

Contribution from the crossing term between the term $\alpha_s \delta s_t$ (the linear term) and the term q_t (the non linear term), i.e. $\langle \alpha_s \delta s'_t q''_t \rangle$, vanishes (cf Appendix A). We are left with

$$C_S^2 = \int d^3x_0 (\nabla_0^i \xi_i)^2 \int d\tau e^{-i\omega_0\tau} \int d^3r \times [\langle (\alpha_s \delta s'_t) (\alpha_s \delta s''_t) \rangle + \langle q'_t q''_t \rangle] . \quad (40)$$

4.1. Contribution of the linear term

We consider the contribution of the Lagrangian entropy fluctuations (i.e. the first term in the RHS of Eq. (40)).

In the Boussinesq approximation, the entropy fluctuation s_t is related to the temperature fluctuation T_t as

$$s_t = \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial T} \right)_P T_t . \quad (41)$$

In a free turbulent medium the Eulerian temperature fluctuation acts as a passive scalar (Tennekes & Lumley, 1982; Lesieur, 1997, Chap VI-10). Thus one can expect that the Eulerian entropy turbulent fluctuation acts as a passive scalar.

Let $\phi_s(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ be the Fourier transform of the correlation product of the Eulerian entropy fluctuation. For any passive scalar, one has the relation (Lesieur, 1997, Chap V-10)

$$\phi_s(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{E_s(k, \omega)}{2\pi k^2} , \quad (42)$$

where the scalar spectrum $E_s(k, \omega)$ is related to the scalar variance as (Lesieur, 1997, Chap V-10)

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle s_t^2(\mathbf{x}_0, \omega) \rangle = \int_0^\infty dk E_s(k, \omega) . \quad (43)$$

Because the Lagrangian entropy fluctuation acts as a passive scalar as well, δs_t scales as s_t . The contribution of the Lagrangian entropy fluctuation is therefore proportional to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau \int d^3r e^{-i\omega_0\tau} \langle s' s'' \rangle = (2\pi)^4 \phi_s(\mathbf{0}, \omega_0) , \quad (44)$$

where the following notations have been defined :

$$s' = s_t(\mathbf{x}_0 - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}, t_0 - \frac{\tau}{2}) \quad s'' = s_t(\mathbf{x}_0 + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}, t_0 + \frac{\tau}{2}) . \quad (45)$$

As was done with the kinetic energy spectrum, the scalar energy spectrum $E_s(k, \omega)$ is decomposed such that

$$E_s(k, \omega) = E_s(k) \chi_k(\omega) \quad (46)$$

with $\chi_k(\omega)$ the same frequency-dependent factor as in Sect. 3. Let $\chi_k(\omega)$ take the Gaussian form : as $\omega_k \propto k u_k$ we have $\chi_k(\omega_0) = 0$ for $k = 0$. As $E_s(k)$ increases as k^2 in the vicinity of $k = 0$ (Tennekes, 1975; Tennekes & Lumley, 1982, Chap 8.6), the quantity $\phi_s(\mathbf{0}, \omega_0)$ vanishes and so does the linear term contribution. We emphasize that in the context of the approximation presented in Eq.(46), no assumption has been made regarding the behavior of the entropy energy spectrum $E_s(k)$. Hence, this result remains valid for any scalar spectrum and for the velocity energy spectrum.

This result may also be explained as follows: in term of mode excitation, the linear entropy source term acts as a second-order correlation product $\langle s' s'' \rangle$. Turbulence and oscillation are coupled through the phase term $e^{-i\omega_0\tau}$ and through the turbulent time spectrum $\chi_k(\omega)$, which is the frequency-dependent component of $\langle s' s'' \rangle$ in the Fourier space. Therefore coupling between turbulence and oscillation occurs at frequencies close to the oscillation frequency ω_0 and thus involves eddies of wavenumber $k \gtrsim k_{osc} M_t^{-1}$ according to Eq. (35). On the other hand, the spatial component of $\langle s' s'' \rangle$ in the Fourier space favors eddies with the largest size ($k \rightarrow 0$). These two opposite effects clearly are incompatible and lead to vanishing of the entropy fluctuation contribution.

This does not happen for the contribution of the Reynolds source term, which involves the fourth-order velocity correlation product. According to the QNA this term can be decomposed in terms of a product of two second-order velocity correlations. Coupling with the oscillation then becomes non-linear and leads to an effective non-zero contribution. Thus, only non-linear terms can contribute to mode excitation while linear terms do not. This may be considered as a general result and justifies neglect of several source terms in section 2.2.

4.2. Contribution of the non-linear terms

As the linear term does not contribute to the acoustic emission, Eq.(40) becomes, with Eq.(39),

$$\begin{aligned} C_S^2 = & \int d^3x_0 (\nabla_0 \cdot \xi)^2 \int d\tau e^{-i\omega_0\tau} \int d^3r \\ & \times \int_{-\infty}^{\tau/2} dt_3 \int_{-\infty}^{-\tau/2} dt_4 \\ & \times \{ \nabla_1 \alpha_s \cdot \langle (s_t \mathbf{u})_3 (s_t \mathbf{u})_4 \rangle \cdot \nabla_2 \alpha_s \\ & - 2 \nabla_1 \alpha_s \cdot \langle (s_t \mathbf{u})_3 \nabla_2 \cdot (\alpha_s s_t \mathbf{u})_4 \rangle \\ & + \langle \nabla_1 \cdot (\alpha_s s_t \mathbf{u})_3 \nabla_2 \cdot (\alpha_s s_t \mathbf{u})_4 \rangle \} \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where subscripts 3 and 4 refer to evaluations at positions $[\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{r}/2, t_3]$ and $[\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{r}/2, t_4]$ respectively. Similar way to the Reynolds contribution, we have $\nabla_1^i \alpha_s \simeq \nabla_2^i \alpha_s \simeq \nabla_0^i \alpha_s$. We use an integration by parts and the derivative

operators ∇_1 and ∇_2 are replaced by the large-scale gradient ∇_0 . This finally gives

$$\begin{aligned} C_S^2 = & \int d^3x_0 (\alpha_s \nabla_0 \cdot \xi)^2 g^{ij} \int d\tau e^{-i\omega_0\tau} \int d^3r \\ & \times \int_{-\infty}^{\tau/2} dt_3 \int_{-\infty}^{-\tau/2} dt_4 \langle (s_t u_i)_3 (s_t u_j)_4 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ij} = & \nabla_0^i (\ln |\alpha_s|) \nabla_0^j (\ln |\alpha_s|) \\ & - 2 \nabla_0^i (\ln |\alpha_s|) \nabla_0^j (\ln |\nabla_0 \cdot \xi|) \\ & + \nabla_0^i (\ln |\nabla_0 \cdot \xi|) \nabla_0^j (\ln |\nabla_0 \cdot \xi|) . \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Again, we consider the gradient of the stratification as radial and a plane parallel approximation in the excitation region. One obtains (see Appendix B and Appendix C)

$$\begin{aligned} C_S^2 = & \frac{4\pi^3 \mathcal{H}}{\omega_0^2} \int d^3x_0 \left(\alpha_s \frac{d\xi_r}{dr} \right)^2 g_r \\ & \times \int dk \frac{E_s(k) E(k)}{k^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \chi_k(\omega_0 + \omega) \chi_k(\omega) \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

with

$$g_r = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{d}{d \ln r} \ln |\alpha_s| - \frac{d}{d \ln r} \ln \left| \frac{d}{dr} \xi_r \right| \right)^2 \quad (51)$$

and $\mathcal{H}$ an anisotropic factor similar to Gough's anisotropic factor (see Appendix C).

The Mixing-Length Theory (hereafter MLT Böhm - Vitense, 1958; Cox, 1968; Gough, 1977) provides an estimate of the vertical velocity of the convective flow. The corresponding kinetic energy is transferred to smaller scales through the turbulent cascade. The kinetic energy spectrum $E(k)$ is normalized as

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle u^2(\mathbf{x}_0) \rangle \equiv \int_0^\infty dk E(k) = \frac{1}{2} \Phi w^2 = \frac{3}{2} u_0^2 \quad (52)$$

where w is an estimate for the vertical convective velocity and Φ is a factor introduced by Gough (1977) to take into account anisotropy effects (Eq. C.6). u_0 in the RHS of Eq.(52) has been introduced for convenience.

The MLT provides a relation between the temperature fluctuations and the vertical convective velocity w (Gough, 1977) :

$$w^2 = \frac{g \Lambda \delta}{2 \Phi T} \langle T_t^2 \rangle^{1/2} \quad (53)$$

where $\delta = \left(\frac{\partial \ln \rho_0}{\partial \ln T} \right)_P$. Thus Eq. (41, 53) provide an estimate of the entropy scalar variance

$$\tilde{s}^2 \equiv \langle s_t^2(\mathbf{x}_0) \rangle = \left(\frac{2 \Phi C_P}{g \Lambda \delta} \right)^2 w^4 . \quad (54)$$

This enables us to normalize the entropy spectrum $E_s(k)$

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle s_t^2(\mathbf{x}_0) \rangle = \int_0^\infty dk E_s(k) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{s}^2 . \quad (55)$$

Following GMK we define $\mathcal{R}$ as

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \frac{\alpha_s \tilde{s}}{\rho_0 u_0^2} = \frac{6}{\alpha_c} \Gamma_1, \quad (56)$$

where α_c is the mixing-length parameter defined as usual by $\Lambda = \alpha_c H_p$ with Λ being the mixing-length and H_p the pressure scale height and Γ_1 is the usual adiabatic index. The quantity $\mathcal{R}^2$ roughly measures the ratio of the excitation by entropy fluctuations to that by fluctuations of Reynolds stresses.

The entropy contribution can then be written as :

$$C_S^2 = 4\pi^3 \mathcal{H} \int_0^M dm \rho_0 u_0^4 \mathcal{R}^2 \mathcal{F}^2 \left(\frac{d\xi_r}{dr} \right)^2 \left(\frac{u_0}{\Lambda \omega_0} \right)^2 \times \int \frac{dk}{k^2} \frac{E_s(k) E(k)}{\tilde{s}^2 u_0^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \chi_k(\omega_0 + \omega) \chi_k(\omega) \quad (57)$$

with $\mathcal{F}^2 \equiv \Lambda^2 g_r$.

5. Complete formulation

With Eq.(34) and Eq.(57), the oscillation amplitude Eq.(22) is rewritten as

$$\langle |A|^2 \rangle = \frac{\pi^3}{2\eta(\omega_0 I)^2} \int_0^M dm \rho_0 u_0^4 \left(\frac{d\xi_r}{dr} \right)^2 \times \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k^2} \frac{E(k)}{u_0^2} F(k, \omega_0) \quad (58)$$

where

$$F(k, \omega_0) \equiv \mathcal{G} \frac{E(k)}{u_0^2} \chi_k(\omega_0) + \mathcal{H} \mathcal{R}^2 \mathcal{F}^2 \frac{E_s(k)}{\tilde{s}^2} \left(\frac{u_0}{\Lambda \omega_0} \right)^2 \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \chi_k(\omega_0 + \omega) \chi_k(\omega), \quad (59)$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are given in Appendix C.

Results in Samadi et al. (2001a) for the solar case and in Samadi et al. (2001b) for Procyon are based on the above general expression for the mean square amplitude. In order to compare with results of previous works, we next consider specific temporal and energy spectra, namely the Gaussian time spectrum and the Kolmogorov spectrum.

5.1. Gaussian time spectrum

Let consider the Gaussian time spectrum given by Eq.(30). This time spectrum corresponds in the time space to a gaussian function where linewidth is equal to $2\tau_k$ and τ_k is the characteristic time correlation length of an eddy of wavenumber k . Hence ω_k and τ_k are related to each other as

$$\omega_k = \frac{2}{\tau_k}. \quad (60)$$

As in B92 we define τ_k as

$$\tau_k \equiv \frac{\lambda}{k u_k} \quad (61)$$

where the velocity u_k of the eddy with wave number k is related to the kinetic energy spectrum $E(k)$ as (Stein, 1967)

$$u_k^2 = \int_k^{2k} dk E(k). \quad (62)$$

The factor λ in Eq.(61) is introduced in order to gauge the definitions of τ_k and u_k which involve some arbitrariness.

Let us define the wavenumber k_0 as the wavenumber of the largest eddy in the inertial range. Thus we relate k_0 to the mixing length as follows :

$$k_0 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta \Lambda} \quad (63)$$

where the parameter β is introduced here again in order to gauge the definition of k_0 .

For convenience we define the following variables :

$$K = \frac{k}{k_0}, \quad u_K = \frac{u_k}{u_0}, \quad \omega_\Lambda = \frac{2\pi u_0}{\Lambda}. \quad (64)$$

The mean square amplitude of Eq.(58) for the Gaussian form of Eq. (30) is given by

$$\langle |A|^2 \rangle = \frac{\lambda \beta^4}{32\pi \eta(\omega_0 I)^2} \int_0^M dm \rho_0 u_0^3 \Lambda^4 \left(\frac{d\xi_r}{dr} \right)^2 \times [\mathcal{G} \mathcal{S}_R(X) + \mathcal{H} \mathcal{R}^2 \mathcal{F}^2 \mathcal{S}_S(X)] \quad (65)$$

with the “source functions”

$$\mathcal{S}_R(X) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K^3} \frac{1}{u_K} \frac{k_0^2 E^2(K)}{u_0^4} e^{-Z^2} \quad (66)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_S(X) = \frac{X^{-2}}{2(2\pi)^{5/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K^3} \frac{1}{u_K} \times \left(\frac{k_0 E_S(K)}{\tilde{s}^2} \right) \left(\frac{k_0 E(K)}{u_0^2} \right) e^{-(Z\sqrt{2})^2}, \quad (67)$$

where $X(m, \omega_0) \equiv \omega_0/\omega_\Lambda$ and the ratio $Z = \lambda \beta X/2K u_K$. As shown below, the ratios $k_0 E_S(K)/\tilde{s}^2$ and $k_0 E(K)/u_0^2$ only depend on K .

The amplitude (Eq. 65) is very sensitive to the parameters β and λ because it scales as $\lambda \beta^4$ and the quantity $\lambda \beta$ is involved in the exponential function of Eq.(66) and Eq.(67). In section 5.2 some physical arguments yield a crude estimate for the parameter β . Values of parameters λ , β are discussed in some details in Samadi et al. (2001a) in connection with solar seismic observations.

5.2. Kolmogorov energy spectrum

The normalization condition Eq.(52) allows us to express the Kolmogorov spectrum (Lesieur, 1997, Chap VI-4) as

$$E(K) = \frac{u_0^2}{k_0} K^{-5/3} \text{ for } K > 1. \quad (68)$$

The expression for the mean square amplitude (Eq. 58) involves also the entropy spectrum $E_s(k)$ which must

therefore be determined. We recall that the energy spectra of the temperature and of the entropy fluctuations exhibit the same behavior (see section 4.1). Turbulence theory predicts that the energy spectrum of the temperature fluctuations follows the same scaling law as that of the kinetic energy spectrum $E(k)$ in the inertial-convective range (Lesieur, 1997, Chap VI-10) and it decreases as $k^{-17/3}$ in the inertial-conductive range because molecular-conductive effects are predominant. This behavior seems to be quite well supported observations of solar granulation as is claimed by Espagnet et al. (1993) and Nesis et al. (1993).

We next turn to a specific case where we assume that the entropy energy spectrum lies in the inertial-convective range i.e. the turbulent entropy spectrum scales as the kinetic spectrum. According to the normalization conditions of Eq.(52) and of Eq.(55), this assumption provides the relation:

$$\frac{E_s(k, \omega)}{\tilde{s}^2} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{E(k, \omega)}{u_0^2}. \quad (69)$$

The source functions with Eq.(68) and Eq.(69), Eq.(66) and Eq. (67) become :

$$\mathcal{S}_R(X) \simeq 0.92 \int_1^\infty dK \frac{u_K^3}{K^5} e^{-Z^2} \quad (70)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_S(X) \simeq \frac{0.008}{X^2} \int_1^\infty dK \frac{u_K^3}{K^5} e^{-(Z/\sqrt{2})^2} \quad (71)$$

with X and Z defined below Eq.(67). The source function $\mathcal{S}_R(X)$ (Eq. 70) is similar to the source function $\mathcal{S}_Q(m, \omega)$ obtained by B92 (Eq. 2.20). However the source function for the entropy contribution $\mathcal{S}_S(X)$ (Eq. 71) differs from the one established by B92 (Eq. 3.9) in that the author extrapolated GK's formulation of the Reynolds stress contribution for the contribution due to the Lagrangian entropy fluctuations.

For sake of comparison with the GMK formulation, we simplify Eq.(70) and Eq.(71) by using the fact that most of the stochastic emission occurs from eddies with $\omega_k \gtrsim \omega_0$ (i.e. with $e^{-(\omega_0/\omega_k)^2} \sim 1$). For $\omega_0/\omega_\Lambda \gtrsim 1$ integration over K leads then to the asymptotic forms

$$\mathcal{S}_R \propto (\omega_0/\omega_\Lambda)^{-15/2} \text{ for } \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_\Lambda} \gtrsim 1, \quad (72)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_S \propto (\omega_0/\omega_\Lambda)^{-19/2} \text{ for } \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_\Lambda} \gtrsim 1. \quad (73)$$

The asymptotic frequency dependence, Eq. (72), is as that found by GMK. On the other hand, GMK assume the same frequency dependence for the two contributions while here the source function $\mathcal{S}_S$ (Eq. 73) corresponding to the entropy contribution exhibits a steeper slope. This result shows us that the power emission from the entropy contribution is less efficient at high frequency compared to the Reynolds term and thus differs from the results of GMK but is consistent with the GK statement that the contribution of the entropy fluctuation is larger for the long period p -modes than for the short period p -modes.

5.3. Constraints on free parameters

We next turn to the parameter β . The time scale at which the convective energy dissipates through the turbulent cascade is of order Λ/w . Thus for stationary turbulence and using Eq.(52), the rate of injection of kinetic energy ϵ (Lesieur, 1997, Chap VI-3) can be crudely estimated as

$$\epsilon \simeq \frac{3}{2} u_0^2 w / \Lambda. \quad (74)$$

As $E(k) = C_K \epsilon^{2/3} k^{-5/3}$ (see Lesieur, 1997, Chap VI-4) where C_K is the Kolmogorov universal constant, which is close to 1.5, and from Eq.(68) and Eq.(74) one obtains

$$k_0 \simeq \frac{3}{2} C_K^{3/2} (\Phi/3)^{-1/2} \Lambda^{-1} \quad (75)$$

and then

$$\beta \simeq \frac{4\pi}{3} C_K^{-3/2} (\Phi/3)^{1/2}. \quad (76)$$

This suggests that crudely $\beta \simeq 1.9$ with $\Phi = 2$, the value of the anisotropic factor consistent with BV's MLT.

The value suggested for β is somewhat approximate. Therefore, as in the case of the parameter λ , we consider β as a free parameter. However, the value of $\beta\lambda$ is constrained by an upper limit. Indeed, let τ_{k_0} be the correlation time of the largest eddy in the inertial domain. The lifetime of the largest eddies in the inertial range cannot be longer than the characteristic time Λ/w at which the convective energy dissipates into the turbulent cascade. Therefore we must have $\tau_{k_0} \lesssim \Lambda/w$ and according to Eqs.(61,62) evaluated for $k = k_0$ and Eqs.(63,52) we obtain $\beta\lambda \lesssim 2.7 \Phi^{1/2}$.

6. Discussion

In the present work, a formulation has been established which yields the oscillation amplitude of a stellar oscillation mode when it is stochastically excited by turbulent convection. The main result of this paper is the expression for the mean square oscillation amplitude $\langle |A|^2 \rangle$ given by Eq.(58) and Eq.(59). The derivation is based on theoretical developments of previous works (GK, GMK, B92) but an effort has been made to obtain a sufficiently general expression which enables one to implement any type of turbulent (kinetic and entropic) spectra and any type of temporal spectra for the turbulent eddies.

For comparison purpose, we next focused on a gaussian temporal and Kolmogorov energy spectra; we then ended up with the same expression for the Reynolds stress contribution as obtained in GMK and B92. We must stress however that in order to use the same formulation for an energy spectrum other than the Kolmogorov one, such as for instance the Spiegel spectrum, a general expression such as Eq. (58) must be used.

As far as the entropy contribution is concerned, we found that the linear term due to the entropy fluctuation gives no contribution and that it is the advection of

the Eulerian entropy fluctuations by the turbulent velocity field which produces a nonzero acoustic emission.

In the derivation of the expected mean-square amplitude (Eq. 58), several assumptions and approximations have been made. For instance, it has been assumed that the oscillations and the stratification are decoupled for the eddies which contribute to the stochastic power emission. This assumption was shown to be valid and is in agreement with Goldreich & Kumar (1990). In addition, we have used the plane-parallel approximation, which is valid in the excitation region. Other assumptions are based on results from Stein's work (1967), such as the separation of the kinetic energy spectrum $E(k, \omega)$ in term of a purely spatial energy spectrum $E(k)$ and a time-dependent factor $\chi_k(\omega)$ for an eddy of wavenumber k . As in Stein (1967) the QNA has been used. We have used crude approximations for estimations of the velocity and the life-time of an eddy as proposed by Stein (1967). This led us to introduce the free parameter λ in the definition of the eddy lifetime.

The entropy fluctuation has been considered to act as a passive scalar and we have extended the separation of the kinetic energy spectrum in terms of a purely spatial energy spectrum $E(k)$ and a time-dependent factor to the entropy energy spectrum.

The MLT was required in order to estimate the power injected in the velocity and entropy turbulent cascade (Eq. 65). This theory, which assumes the Boussinesq approximation, is well known to be a crude approximation. For instance the MLT predicts that the characteristic size of the largest turbulent element is comparable with the scale height of the stratification. This is in contrast with the homogeneous hypothesis considered here for the description of the turbulent medium. However, it has already been stressed that the stochastic emission is not affected by the stratification. The use of an homogeneous turbulence is therefore valid.

The size of the largest eddy in the inertial range is estimated by the mixing length (Λ) according to the MLT. We have related the wavenumber of the largest eddy in the inertial range (k_0) to the mixing length. However, as for the eddy lifetime, this relation is rather arbitrary and therefore involves uncertainties. We have therefore introduced an additional free parameter β .

We have considered an isotropic turbulence. However, effects of anisotropy in the amplitude computation have been partially taken into account. In this way, two anisotropic factors $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ have been introduced for both contributions respectively. These factors have been related to Gough (1977) anisotropic factor Φ .

It is possible to validate some of these approximations by comparing them with results of 3D simulations of the solar envelope (Samadi, 2000, work in progress): for instance, the QNA is found to be reasonably valid.

To date, and in the solar case, several possible turbulent spectra can be investigated and compared with solar seismic observations (Samadi et al., 2001a). But we can anticipate that the entropy contribution will be dominant, as already pointed out by GMK. Comparison with

solar data allows us to calibrate the free parameters which in turn can be used to compute oscillation power spectra for various solar-like oscillation stars. Indeed, unlike the Sun, it is not possible to determine the turbulent spectra of other stars from observations of the surface granulation. In the prospect of forthcoming space seismic projects (COROT, MONS, MOST, EDDINGTON) comparison of theoretical computations with seismic data of several solar-like oscillation stars will provide useful constraints on stellar turbulent spectra.

Acknowledgements. We are indebted to A. Mangeney for particularly useful suggestions and advice without which this work would not have been possible. We also thank J.-P. Zahn, M. Rieutord and A. Baglin for useful discussions.

Appendix A: Crossing terms

The entropy and Reynolds source terms involve three crossing terms arising from Eq. (21). These terms are proportional to

$$\int d^3r \langle s' u_i'' u_j'' \rangle \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\int d^3r \langle u_i' s' u_j'' \rangle. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The QNA gives the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_i' s' u_j'' \rangle &= \langle u_i' s' \rangle \langle u_j'' \rangle + \langle u_i' u_j'' \rangle \langle s' \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle u_i' u_j'' \rangle \langle s' u_i'' \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

For an isotropic, homogeneous and incompressible turbulence we have $\langle s' u_j'' \rangle = 0$ (Lesieur, 1997, Chap V-8). Thus the three terms in the RHS of Eq. (A.3) vanish.

The entropy source term introduces a crossing term between the linear and the non-linear terms of Eq.(39). This term is proportional to

$$\int d^3r \langle s' s'' u_i'' \rangle. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Third order correlation products of quantities following a normal distribution are expected to be equal to zero. Turbulent quantities, such as the entropy fluctuations (s_t) and the turbulent velocity field ($\mathbf{u}$), are not Gaussian random functions, since such turbulence would have no energy transfer between wavenumbers (Lesieur, 1997). However, for a strongly turbulent medium, as in a stellar convection zone, approximation of turbulent quantities by Gaussian random functions is consistent with the QNA and can therefore be considered as valid.

As a conclusion, the crossing term C_{RS} and the crossing terms between the linear and non-linear terms of the entropy contribution do not contribute to oscillation excitation.

Appendix B: Entropy contribution

The QNA provides the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_3^i u_4^j s_3 s_4 \rangle &= \langle u_3^i u_4^j \rangle \langle s_3 s_4 \rangle + \langle s_3 u_3^i \rangle \langle s_4 u_4^j \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle s_3 u_4^j \rangle \langle s_4 u_3^i \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

The terms of type $\langle u_3^i s_3 \rangle$ and $\langle u_3^i s_4 \rangle$ vanish (Lesieur, 1997, Chap V-8) and Eq. (48) reduces to

$$C_S^2 = \int d^3x_0 (\alpha_s \nabla_0 \cdot \xi)^2 g_{ij} \int d\tau e^{-i\omega_0 \tau} \int d^3r \times \int_{-\infty}^{\tau/2} dt_3 \int_{-\infty}^{-\tau/2} dt_4 \langle u_3^i u_4^j \rangle \langle s_3 s_4 \rangle. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Stationarity of the turbulence allows us to write Eq.(B.2) as

$$C_S^2 \propto \int d\tau e^{-i\omega_0 \tau} d^3r \int_{-\infty}^{\tau/2} dt_3 \times \int_{-\infty}^{-\tau/2} dt_4 \langle u^i u^j(t_4 - t_3) \rangle \langle s_t s_t(t_4 - t_3) \rangle \quad (\text{B.3})$$

which can be expanded in the Fourier space as

$$C_S^2 \propto (2\pi)^3 \int d\tau e^{-i\omega_0 \tau} d^3k \times \int_{-\infty}^{\tau/2} dt_3 \int_{-\infty}^{-\tau/2} dt_4 \int d\omega_3 d\omega_4 \times \phi_{ij}(\mathbf{k}, \omega_3) \phi_s(\mathbf{k}, \omega_4) e^{-it_3(\omega_3 + \omega_4)} e^{it_4(\omega_3 + \omega_4)}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Integrating over t_3 and t_4 in Eq.(B.4) and then over τ yields :

$$C_S^2 \propto \frac{(2\pi)^4}{\omega_0^2} \int d^3k \int d\omega \phi_{ij}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \phi_s(\mathbf{k}, \omega_0 + \omega). \quad (\text{B.5})$$

According to Eqs.(28, 29, 42, 46), Eq.(B.5) can be written as

$$C_S^2 = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{\omega_0^2} \int d^3x_0 (\alpha_s \nabla_0 \cdot \xi)^2 g_{ij} \int d\omega \int d^3k \times \frac{E(k)}{4\pi k^2} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} \right) \frac{E_s(k)}{2\pi k^2} \chi_k(\omega_0 + \omega) \chi_k(\omega). \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Appendix C: Anisotropy effects

We establish here the derivation of Eq. (34) and Eq. (50) where the anisotropy factors $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ have been introduced. Thus we evaluate these factors for several cases. Let (x, y, z) be the real (anisotropic) coordinates and $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})$ are isotropic coordinates. We assume an isotropic turbulence in the horizontal layers while the anisotropy occurs in the vertical direction only. The two sets of coordinates are then related to each other by the anisotropic factor $\mathcal{Q}$ as

$$x = \mathcal{Q} \tilde{x} \quad y = \mathcal{Q} \tilde{y} \quad z = \tilde{z}. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

$\mathcal{Q}$ is also the ratio of the horizontal to vertical correlation lengths of the eddies. The equivalent relation in the wavenumber space is

$$k_x = \mathcal{Q}^{-1} \tilde{k}_x \quad k_y = \mathcal{Q}^{-1} \tilde{k}_y \quad k_z = \tilde{k}_z \quad (\text{C.2})$$

where (k_x, k_y, k_z) and $(\tilde{k}_x, \tilde{k}_y, \tilde{k}_z)$ are anisotropic and isotropic wavenumber coordinates respectively. $\mathcal{Q} = 1$ corresponds to an isotropic turbulence. We place ourselves

in the case $\mathcal{Q} \neq 1$ but suppose that the energy spectra remain unchanged i.e. consistent with an isotropic turbulence. We therefore model only geometrical effects due to non-isotropic shapes of the eddies.

Evaluation of Eq. (33) gives

$$C_R^2 = 4\pi^3 \int_0^M dm \rho_0 \left(\frac{d\xi_r}{dr} \right)^2 \times \int dk \frac{E^2(k)}{k^2} \chi_k(\omega_0) \times \int d\theta \sin \theta \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{Q}^2 \cos^2 \theta}{(\mathcal{Q}^2 - 1) \cos^2 \theta + 1} \right)^2. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

We define for convenience the factor $\mathcal{G}$ as

$$\mathcal{G} = \int_{-1}^1 d\cos \theta \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{Q}^2 \cos^2 \theta}{(\mathcal{Q}^2 - 1) \cos^2 \theta + 1} \right)^2. \quad (\text{C.4})$$

This leads to Eq. (34).

As was done with the Reynolds stress contribution, it is straightforward to obtain Eq. (50) from Eq. (B.6) where the anisotropic factor $\mathcal{H}$ is

$$\mathcal{H} = \int_{-1}^1 d\cos \theta \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{Q}^2 \cos^2 \theta}{(\mathcal{Q}^2 - 1) \cos^2 \theta + 1} \right). \quad (\text{C.5})$$

Gough (1977) defines the velocity anisotropic factor Φ as

$$\Phi = \frac{\langle u_x^2 \rangle}{\langle u_z^2 \rangle}. \quad (\text{C.6})$$

The lifetime of an eddy is the same in any direction ; we then have

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}\Lambda}{\langle u_x^2 \rangle^{1/2}} = \frac{\mathcal{Q}\Lambda}{\langle u_y^2 \rangle^{1/2}} = \frac{\Lambda}{\langle u_z^2 \rangle^{1/2}} \quad (\text{C.7})$$

where Λ is the correlation length of the largest eddy in the vertical direction. Thus one obtains from Eqs. (C.6, C.7) a relation between $\mathcal{Q}$ and Φ

$$\Phi = 1 + 2 \mathcal{Q}^2. \quad (\text{C.8})$$

Integration of Eqs (C.4, C.5) gives

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{a^{5/2}} \left[\frac{2a^{3/2} - 3\sqrt{a}}{a - 1} - 3 \operatorname{atanh}(\sqrt{a}) \right] \quad (\text{C.9})$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{2}{a^{3/2}} [\operatorname{atanh}(\sqrt{a}) - \sqrt{a}] \quad (\text{C.10})$$

with

$$a = 1 - \mathcal{Q}^2 = (3 - \Phi) / 2. \quad (\text{C.11})$$

Table C.1 gives values for $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ for different values of Φ .

References

- Baglin, A. & The Corot Team. 1998, in IAU Symp. 185: New Eyes to See Inside the Sun and Stars, Vol. 185, 301
Balmforth, N. J. 1992, MNRAS, 255, 639

Table C.1. Values for $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ for several cases: the isotropic case corresponds to $\Phi = 3$; $\Phi = 2$ is consistent with Bohm-Vitense's MLT; $\Phi = 5/3$ is the value that maximizes the convective heat fluxes (Balmforth & Gough, 1990) and $\Phi = 1.37$ is the value considered by Houdek et al. (2001).

Φ	$\mathcal{Q}^2$	a	$\mathcal{G}$	$\mathcal{H}$
3	1	0	16/15	4/3
2	0.5	0.5	1.0	1.0
5/3	0.33	0.66	1.8	1.2
1.37	0.18	0.81	3.7	1.6

Balmforth, N. J. & Gough, D. O. 1990, *Solar Physics*, 128, 161

Batchelor, G. K. 1970, *The theory of homogeneous turbulence* (University Press)

Böhm - Vitense, E. 1958, *Zeitschr. Astrophys.*, 46, 108

Christensen-Dalsgaard, J. & Frandsen, S. 1983, *Solar Physics*, 82, 165

Cowling, T. G. 1941, *MNRAS*, 101, 367

Cox, J. 1968, *Principles of stellar structure* (Gordon and Breach)

Espagnet, O., Muller, R., Roudier, T., & Mein, N. 1993, *A&A*, 271, 589

Favata, F., Roxburgh, I., & Christensen-Dalsgaard, J. 2000, in *The Third MONS Workshop : Science Preparation and Target Selection*, 49–54

Goldreich, P. & Keeley, D. A. 1977, *ApJ*, 212, 243

Goldreich, P. & Kumar, P. 1990, *ApJ*, 363, 694

Goldreich, P., Murray, N., & Kumar, P. 1994, *ApJ*, 424, 466

Gough, D. O. 1977, *ApJ*, 214, 196

Houdek, G., Balmforth, N. J., Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., & Gough, D. O. 1999, *A&A*, 351, 582

Houdek, G., Chaplin, W., Appourchaux, T., Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Däppen, W., Elsworth, Y. and Gough, D., Isaak, G., News, R., & Rabello-Soares, M. 2001, submitted to *MNRAS*

Kjeldsen, H. & Bedding, T., eds. 1998, *The First MONS Workshop: Science with a Small Space Telescope*, ed. H. Kjeldsen & T. Bedding, Aarhus Universitet.

Kraichnan, R. H. 1957, *Physical Review.*, 107, 1485

Lesieur, M. 1997, *Turbulence in fluids* (Kluwer Academic Publishers)

Lighthill, M. J. 1952, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.*, A211, 564

Matthews, J. M. 1998, in *Structure and Dynamics of the Interior of the Sun and Sun-like Stars*, 395

Musielak, Z. E., Rosner, R., Stein, R. F., & Ulmschneider, P. 1994, *ApJ*, 423, 474

Nesis, A., Hanslmeier, A., Hammer, R., Komm, R., Mattig, W., & Staiger, J. 1993, *A&A*, 279, 599

Osaki, Y. 1990, in *Lecture Notes in Physics : Progress of Seismology of the Sun and Stars*, ed. Y. Osaki & H. Shibahashi (Springer-Verlag), 75

Samadi, R. 2000, PhD thesis, Université Paris 6

Samadi, R., Goupil, M.-J., & Lebreton, Y. 2001a, *A&A* (in press)

Samadi, R., Goupil, M.-J., Lebreton, Y., & Baglin, A. 2000, in *SOHO 10 / GONG 2000 Workshop* (in press)

Samadi, R., Houdek, G., Goupil, M.-J., & Lebreton, Y. 2001b, submitted to *A&A*

Stein, F. & Nordlund, A. 1991, in *Challenges to Theories of the Structure of Moderate-Mass Stars*, Vol. 195 (ed D. Gough & J. Toomre (Springer-Verlag))

Stein, R. F. 1967, *Solar Physics*, 2, 385

Tennekes, H. 1975, *Journal of Fluids Mechanics*, 67, 561

Tennekes, H. & Lumley, J. 1982, *A first course in turbulence*, 8th edn. (MIT Press)

Unno, W., Osaki, Y., Ando, H., Saio, H., & Shibahashi, H. 1989, *Nonradial oscillations of stars* (Tokyo: University of Tokyo Press, 1989, 2nd ed.)